

1. This problem is about the orbital angular momentum operator, $\hat{L}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{X}_j \hat{P}_k$.

(a) Using canonical commutation relations between components of \hat{X}_i and \hat{P}_i , show that

$$[\hat{X}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{X}_k \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{P}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{P}_k. \quad (1)$$

(b) Show that

$$[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{L}_j \hat{L}_k = i\hbar \hat{L}_i. \quad (2)$$

(c) Define $\hat{L}_\pm = \hat{L}_x \pm i\hat{L}_y$ and show that

$$[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_\pm] = \pm\hbar \hat{L}_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{L}_+, \hat{L}_-] = 2\hbar \hat{L}_z. \quad (3)$$

(d) Show that in the spherical coordinate basis

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_z \Psi(r, \theta, \phi) &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \Psi(r, \theta, \phi), \\ \hat{L}_\pm \Psi(r, \theta, \phi) &= -i\hbar e^{\pm i\phi} \left(\pm i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{\tan \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \Psi(r, \theta, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(e) Compute $\hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i \Psi(r, \theta, \phi)$ in the same basis.

(Hint: use $\hat{L}_i \hat{L}_i = \hat{L}_z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- + \frac{1}{2} \hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+$.)

2. This problem is about Schwinger's oscillator model of angular momentum. The $SO(3)$ rotation group has both single-valued and double-valued representations, corresponding to integral and half-integral values of j , respectively. Both kinds of representations become single valued in terms of the Spin(3) group (the double cover of $SO(3)$); Spin(3) is isomorphic to $SU(2)$. The $SU(2)$ picture of the spin group is more convenient for deriving the explicit rotation matrices, $\mathcal{D}_{m,m'}^{(j)}(\phi, \vec{n})$ for all representations (j). In this problem, we will construct the $\mathcal{D}_{m,m'}^{(j)}$ matrix elements as explicit polynomials of the matrix elements $U_{\alpha\beta}$ of the $SU(2)$ matrix $U(\alpha, \vec{n}) \equiv \exp(-i\frac{\alpha}{2} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$.

Our starting point is a system of two independent harmonic oscillators whose creation and annihilation operators $\hat{a}_+^\dagger, \hat{a}_-^\dagger, \hat{a}_+, \hat{a}_-$ obey the canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta] = 0 = [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger], \quad [\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = +, - \quad (5)$$

and a trio of model angular momentum operators

$$\hat{J}_i = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{i,\alpha\beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma_{i,\alpha\beta}$ are matrix elements of the Pauli matrices σ_i .

(a) Compute the commutators $[\hat{J}_i, \hat{a}_\alpha]$ and $[\hat{J}_i, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger]$.

- (b) Verify that $[\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{J}_k$; it is this relation that allows us to treat the \hat{J}_i as model angular momenta.
- (c) Prove that

$$\hat{J}_i\hat{J}_i = \hbar^2\frac{\hat{N}}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{N}}{2} + 1\right), \quad \text{where } \hat{N} \equiv \hat{a}_+^\dagger\hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-^\dagger\hat{a}_-. \quad (7)$$

(Hint: first express \hat{J}_z and \hat{J}_\pm explicitly in terms of \hat{a}_\pm and \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger ; then compute $\hat{J}_i\hat{J}_i = \hat{J}_z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{\hat{J}_+, \hat{J}_-\}$.)

- (d) Show that for this model the states with definite values of j and m are precisely the states with definite numbers of oscillatorial quanta n_+ and n_- . Specifically,

$$|j, m\rangle = |n_+ = j + m, n_- = j - m\rangle = ((j + m)!(j - m)!)^{-1/2} (\hat{a}_+^\dagger)^{j+m} (\hat{a}_-^\dagger)^{j-m} |0\rangle \quad (8)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the ground state of the two-oscillator system.

- (e) Now suppose that for some unitary operator \hat{V} ,

$$\hat{V}|0\rangle = |0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{V}\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger\hat{V}^\dagger = \sum_\beta \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger U_{\beta\alpha} \quad (9)$$

where $U_{\beta\alpha}$ is an $SU(2)$ matrix. Show that the relations, eq. (9), inevitably lead to

$$\hat{V}|j, m\rangle = \sum_{m'} |j, m'\rangle \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^{(j)} \quad (10)$$

and compute the matrix elements $\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^{(j)}$ as polynomials of the matrix elements of U .

Notice that for $j = 1/2$ the $\mathcal{D}^{(1/2)}$ matrix is U . Therefore, this exercise gives us the $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$ matrices for states of all angular momenta j in terms of the two-by-two matrix for the states of $j = 1/2$.

- (f) Prove the following lemma: For any operator \hat{B} and a finite set of operators $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \dots, \hat{A}_N$ satisfying the commutation relations $[\hat{A}_n, \hat{B}] = \sum_{n'} \hat{A}_{n'} C_{n',n}$ where $C_{n',n}$ is a finite N -by- N matrix of c-numbers,

$$\exp(t\hat{B})\hat{A}_n \exp(-t\hat{B}) = \sum_{n'} \hat{A}_{n'} [\exp(tC)]_{n',n}. \quad (11)$$

(Hint: differentiate the left hand side of eq. (11) with respect to t and then solve the differential equation.)

- (g) Now consider the rotation operators $\hat{R}(\phi, \vec{n}) = \exp(\frac{\phi}{i\hbar} n_i \hat{J}_i)$ generated by the angular momentum operators, eq. (6). Show that these rotation operators do satisfy eq. (9), and the $SU(2)$ matrix $U_{\alpha\beta}$ happens to be $[\exp(\frac{\phi}{2i\hbar} n_i \hat{\sigma}_i)]_{\beta\alpha}$.
- (h) Finally, explain why the $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$ matrices that you've computed in this problem would work for any physical system with a well-defined angular momentum, and not just for the Schwinger model of this problem.