

1. First, a warm-up problem. Consider a one-dimensional quantum particle with a gaussian wave function

$$\Psi(x) = C \exp(ax^2 + bx) , \quad (1)$$

where  $a, b$  and  $C$  are complex numbers. We will limit ourselves to the case  $\text{Re } a < 0$ .

- (a) Calculate the norm  $\int dx |\Psi(x)|^2$  of this wave function.  
 (b) Calculate the momentum-space wave function  $\tilde{\Psi}(p)$  and show that it also has a gaussian form

$$\tilde{\Psi}(p) = \tilde{C} \exp(\tilde{a}p^2 + \tilde{b}p) . \quad (2)$$

- (c) Verify that the momentum-space and the coordinate-space wave functions have the same norm.  
 (d) Calculate the expectation values  $\langle x \rangle, \langle p \rangle$  and the uncertainties  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta p$ . Show that for any gaussian wave function,  $\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$  and that the equality is achieved whenever  $a$  is real.
2. This problem is about commutators. The commutator of two linear operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  is the operator  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \equiv \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$ . Two properties of the commutator are obvious: It is antisymmetric, *i.e.*  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = -[\hat{B}, \hat{A}]$ , and it is linear with respect to both  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$ . Prove the less-obvious properties of the commutator:

- (a) The Leibniz rules:  $[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]\hat{B}$  and  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$ ;  
 (b)  $[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}\hat{D}] = \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{C}]\hat{D} + \hat{C}[\hat{A}, \hat{D}]\hat{B} + \hat{C}\hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{D}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]\hat{B}\hat{D}$   
 (Hint: Use Leibniz twice.);  
 (c) And the Jacobi identity:  
 $[\hat{A}, [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] + [\hat{B}, [\hat{C}, \hat{A}]] + [\hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = 0$ .

3. This problem is about classical Poisson brackets. In classical mechanics, the Poisson bracket of two functions  $A(q, p)$  and  $B(q, p)$  of coordinates ( $q$ ) and momenta ( $p$ ) is defined as

$$[[A, B]]_{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \sum_s \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_s} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_s} \right) . \quad (3)$$

The algebra of the classical Poisson brackets is very similar to the algebra of the quantum-mechanical commutator. It is clear from the definition that  $[[A, B]]_{\mathcal{P}}$  is linear in both  $A$  and  $B$  and antisymmetric *i.e.*  $[[A, B]]_{\mathcal{P}} = -[[B, A]]_{\mathcal{P}}$ , just like the commutator. Prove the less-obvious properties of the Poisson bracket:

- (a) The classical Leibniz rules:  $[[AB, C]]_{\mathcal{P}} = A[[B, C]]_{\mathcal{P}} + [[A, C]]_{\mathcal{P}}B$   
 and  $[[A, BC]]_{\mathcal{P}} = [[A, B]]_{\mathcal{P}}C + B[[A, C]]_{\mathcal{P}}$ ;  
 (b)  $[[AB, CD]]_{\mathcal{P}} = A[[B, C]]_{\mathcal{P}}D + C[[A, D]]_{\mathcal{P}}B + CA[[B, D]]_{\mathcal{P}} + [[A, C]]_{\mathcal{P}}BD$ ;

(c) And the classical Jacobi identity:

$$[[A, [B, C]]_{\mathcal{P}}]_{\mathcal{P}} + [[B, [C, A]]_{\mathcal{P}}]_{\mathcal{P}} + [[C, [A, B]]_{\mathcal{P}}]_{\mathcal{P}} = 0.$$

4. Now consider extending classical Poisson brackets to quantum mechanics. That is, define a quantum bracket  $[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]]$  of operators such that whenever classically  $A = [B, C]_{\mathcal{P}}$ , their quantum counterparts should obey  $\hat{A} \approx [[\hat{B}, \hat{C}]]$ . Quantum brackets should retain as many algebraic properties of the classical Poisson bracket as possible. So we will assume that  $[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]]$  is linear in both  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  and obeys the Leibniz rules:  $[[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] = \hat{A}[[\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] + [[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]]\hat{B}$  and  $[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}]] = [[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]]$ .

(a) Show that consistency of the two Leibniz rules requires that for any four operators,  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{B}$ ,  $\hat{C}$  and  $\hat{D}$ ,

$$(\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}) \cdot [[\hat{C}, \hat{D}]] = [[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] \cdot (\hat{C}\hat{D} - \hat{D}\hat{C}) . \quad (4)$$

(Hint: use both Leibniz rules to compute  $[[\hat{A}\hat{C}, \hat{B}\hat{D}]]$ . There are two ways to do this and the two results must be equal.)

(b) Use eq. (4) to show that

$$[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \quad (5)$$

where  $\hbar$  is a universal constant. Put another way, there is only one kind of quantum bracket, the commutator  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \equiv \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$ .

5. The same infinite-dimensional Hilbert space can have both discrete and continuous bases. For example, the Hilbert space of a quantum particle moving in one space dimension has a continuous *position* basis  $\{|x\rangle\}$  and an equally continuous *momentum* basis  $\{|p\rangle\}$ . However, it also may have discrete bases. The purpose of this problem is to explicitly construct a discrete basis  $\{|n\rangle\}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) for this Hilbert space.

(a) The most common way to construct a basis of a Hilbert space involves eigenstates of some hermitian operator. For example, consider the Hamiltonian operator of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2M}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{M\omega^2}{2}\hat{X}^2 . \quad (6)$$

- i. Write down the eigenstate equation  $\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle$  in the coordinate representation (*i. e.*, in terms of  $\Psi(x)$ ) and show that it has an eigenfunction of a gaussian form  $\Psi(x) = \alpha^{1/2}\pi^{-1/4}\exp(-\alpha^2x^2/2)$ . Compute the value of  $\alpha$  for which this is indeed an eigenfunction and the corresponding eigenvalue  $E_0$ .
- ii. Prove by induction the following lemma:

$$f^{(n+2)}(\xi) + 2\xi f^{(n+1)}(\xi) = -2(n+1)f^{(n)}(\xi) , \quad (7)$$

where  $f^{(n)}(\xi) \equiv d^n \exp(-\xi^2)/d\xi^n$ .

- iii. Hermite polynomials  $H_n(\xi)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are defined via the generating function

$$H_n(\xi) = (-1)^n \exp(\xi^2) \frac{d^n \exp(-\xi^2)}{d\xi^n}. \quad (8)$$

$H_n$  is a polynomial of order  $n$  and can be constructed recursively via  $H_0(\xi) = 1$ ,  $H_{n+1}(\xi) = 2\xi H_n(\xi) - H_n'(\xi)$ .

Show that each of the wave functions  $\Psi_n(x) = C_n H_n(\alpha x) \Psi_0(x)$  ( $C_n$  is a constant) is an eigenfunction of  $\hat{H}$  and compute the corresponding eigenvalue  $E_n$ . (Hint: Reexpress  $\Psi_n$  in terms of  $f^{(n)}$  and use the lemma, eq. (7).)

- (b) Eigenstates of any hermitian operator that correspond to different eigenvalues are guaranteed to be orthogonal to each other (this is a theorem we proved in class).

- i. Verify that the quantum states  $|n\rangle$  described by the wave functions  $\Psi_n(x)$  are indeed orthogonal to each other:

$$\langle m|n\rangle = \int dx \Psi_n^*(x) \Psi_m(x) = 0 \quad n \neq m. \quad (9)$$

(Hint: Use eq. (8) and the fact that  $H_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  (and hence  $d^m H_n/d\xi^m = 0$  for  $m > n$ ).)

- ii. Show that the states  $|n\rangle$  are normalized, *i.e.*  $\langle n|n\rangle = 1$ , provided that we set  $C_n = (2^n n!)^{-1/2}$ .

In other words, the quantum states  $|n\rangle$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  form an *orthonormal* set:

$$\langle m|n\rangle = \int dx \Psi_n^*(x) \Psi_m(x) = \delta_{n,m} \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (10)$$

- (c) As discussed in class, an infinite orthonormal set of vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  does not necessarily make a complete basis. The purpose of this problem is to verify that the set  $\{|n\rangle\}$  constructed in the first part of this problem is indeed complete, that is, that *any* vector of  $\mathcal{H}$  is a linear combination of the  $|n\rangle$ .

- i. Prove another lemma:

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/4} \sqrt{\alpha}} \times \frac{\exp(+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 x^2)}{\sqrt{2^n n!} (i\alpha)^n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk k^n \exp(ikx - \frac{k^2}{4\alpha^2}). \quad (11)$$

- ii. Use the lemma, eq. (11), to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_n^*(x') \Psi_n(x'') = \delta(x' - x''). \quad (12)$$

(Hint: Use eq. (11) for both  $\Psi_n^*(x')$  and  $\Psi_n(x'')$  and sum the series before doing the integrals. Then combine all the exponential factors together and integrate over  $k'$ ; the remaining integral over  $k''$  should be familiar.

iii. Finally, show that the formula, eq. (12), implies that for *any* wavefunction  $\Phi(x)$ ,

$$\sum_n \langle n | \Phi \rangle \Psi_n(x) = \Phi(x) \quad (13)$$

and hence for *any* vector  $|\Phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\sum_n |n\rangle \langle n | \Phi \rangle = |\Phi\rangle . \quad (14)$$

In other words, eq. (12) implies that the set  $\{|n\rangle\}$  (for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) is a *complete basis* of the Hilbert space.