

1. This problem is about the 2-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator. The Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = (\hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2)/2m + k(\hat{q}_1^2 + \hat{q}_2^2)/2, \quad (1)$$

where the  $p$ 's and  $q$ 's obey the usual commutation relations.

(a) Construct  $\hat{L}_3$  and verify that  $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_3] = 0$ .

(b) Consider the operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{mk}} \hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2 + \sqrt{mk} \hat{q}_1 \hat{q}_2 \right) \\ \hat{F}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{q}_1 \hat{p}_2 - \hat{q}_2 \hat{p}_1) \\ \hat{F}_3 &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{mk}} (\hat{p}_1^2 - \hat{p}_2^2) + \sqrt{mk} (\hat{q}_1^2 - \hat{q}_2^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Verify that  $[\hat{H}, \hat{F}_i] = 0$ . What is the physical significance of these operators? How is  $\hat{F}_2$  related to  $\hat{L}_3$ ?

(c) Verify that the  $F_i$  satisfy the algebra of  $SO(3)$ :

$$[\hat{F}_i, \hat{F}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{F}_k. \quad (3)$$

(d) How can this be, given that the harmonic oscillator lives in 2 dimensions??

2. This problem is about Schwinger's oscillator model of angular momentum, which makes use of the observation made in the previous problem. The  $SO(3)$  rotation group has both single-valued and double-valued representations, corresponding to integral and half-integral values of  $j$ , respectively. Both kinds of representations become single valued in terms of the Spin(3) group (the double cover of  $SO(3)$ ); Spin(3) is isomorphic to  $SU(2)$ . The  $SU(2)$  picture of the spin group is more convenient for deriving the explicit rotation matrices,  $\mathcal{D}_{m,m'}^{(j)}(\phi, \vec{n})$  for all representations ( $j$ ). In this problem, we will construct the  $\mathcal{D}_{m,m'}^{(j)}$  matrix elements as explicit polynomials of the matrix elements  $U_{\alpha\beta}$  of the  $SU(2)$  matrix  $U(\alpha, \vec{n}) \equiv \exp(-i\frac{\alpha}{2}\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$ .

Our starting point is a system of two independent harmonic oscillators whose creation and annihilation operators  $\hat{a}_+^\dagger, \hat{a}_-^\dagger, \hat{a}_+, \hat{a}_-$  obey the canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta] = 0 = [\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger], \quad [\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta = +, - \quad (4)$$

and a trio of model angular momentum operators

$$\hat{J}_i = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sigma_{i, \alpha\beta} \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta, \quad (5)$$

where  $\sigma_{i, \alpha\beta}$  are matrix elements of the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$ .

- (a) Compute the commutators  $[\hat{J}_i, \hat{a}_\alpha]$  and  $[\hat{J}_i, \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger]$ .
- (b) Verify that  $[\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{J}_k$ ; it is this relation that allows us to treat the  $\hat{J}_i$  as model angular momenta. (Hint: you've already shown this in problem 1.)
- (c) Prove that

$$\hat{J}_i\hat{J}_i = \hbar^2\frac{\hat{N}}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{N}}{2} + 1\right), \quad \text{where } \hat{N} \equiv \hat{a}_+^\dagger\hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-^\dagger\hat{a}_-. \quad (6)$$

(Hint: first express  $\hat{J}_z$  and  $\hat{J}_\pm$  explicitly in terms of  $\hat{a}_\pm$  and  $\hat{a}_\pm^\dagger$ ; then compute  $\hat{J}_i\hat{J}_i = \hat{J}_z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{\hat{J}_+, \hat{J}_-\}$ .)

- (d) Show that for this model the states with definite values of  $j$  and  $m$  are precisely the states with definite numbers of oscillatorial quanta  $n_+$  and  $n_-$ . Specifically,

$$|j, m\rangle = |n_+ = j + m, n_- = j - m\rangle = ((j + m)!(j - m)!)^{-1/2} (\hat{a}_+^\dagger)^{j+m} (\hat{a}_-^\dagger)^{j-m} |0\rangle \quad (7)$$

where  $|0\rangle$  is the ground state of the two-oscillator system.

- (e) Now suppose that for some unitary operator  $\hat{V}$ ,

$$\hat{V}|0\rangle = |0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{V}\hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger\hat{V}^\dagger = \sum_\beta \hat{a}_\beta^\dagger U_{\beta\alpha} \quad (8)$$

where  $U_{\beta\alpha}$  is an  $SU(2)$  matrix. Show that the relations, eq. (8), inevitably lead to

$$\hat{V}|j, m\rangle = \sum_{m'} |j, m'\rangle \mathcal{D}_{m',m}^{(j)} \quad (9)$$

and compute the matrix elements  $\mathcal{D}_{m',m}^{(j)}$  as polynomials of the matrix elements of  $U$ .

Notice that for  $j = 1/2$  the  $\mathcal{D}^{(1/2)}$  matrix is  $U$ . Therefore, this exercise gives us the  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  matrices for states of all angular momenta  $j$  in terms of the two-by-two matrix for the states of  $j = 1/2$ .

- (f) Prove the following lemma: For any operator  $\hat{B}$  and a finite set of operators  $\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \dots, \hat{A}_N$  satisfying the commutation relations  $[\hat{A}_n, \hat{B}] = \sum_{n'} \hat{A}_{n'} C_{n',n}$  where  $C_{n',n}$  is a finite  $N$ -by- $N$  matrix of c-numbers,

$$\exp(t\hat{B})\hat{A}_n \exp(-t\hat{B}) = \sum_{n'} \hat{A}_{n'} [\exp(tC)]_{n',n}. \quad (10)$$

(Hint: differentiate the left hand side of eq. (10) with respect to  $t$  and then solve the differential equation.)

- (g) Now consider the rotation operators  $\hat{R}(\phi, \vec{n}) = \exp(\frac{\phi}{i\hbar} n_i \hat{J}_i)$  generated by the angular momentum operators, eq. (5). Show that these rotation operators do satisfy eq. (8), and the  $SU(2)$  matrix  $U_{\alpha\beta}$  happens to be  $[\exp(\frac{\phi}{2i\hbar} n_i \hat{\sigma}_i)]_{\beta\alpha}$ .
- (h) Finally, explain why the  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  matrices that you've computed in this problem would work for any physical system with a well-defined angular momentum, and not just for the Schwinger model of this problem.